

Non-Hospital Surgical Centres Advocacy Principles

The following set of Non-Hospital Surgical Centres (NHSC) Principles is a distilled set of advocacy principles developed by the Canadian Ophthalmological Society. This document is based on feedback from a COS Workshop on NHSC held in September 2021, and from member and stakeholder surveys undertaken during the spring of 2022.

Principle	Description	Context and Rationale
1. Quality, Ethical Care is Paramount	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ophthalmologists' principles of ethical medicine, not private profits, are the paramount focus of non-hospital surgical centres. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is concern within the profession that "profit" will become an overwhelming motive in unregulated non-hospital surgical settings. The focus of this principle is to manage the risk of creating a two-tiered system where the ability to access services is based on an ability to pay for additional items such as diagnostic testing, incidentals, and disposables.
2. Eye care is Healthcare	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government funders recognize that eye care is healthcare and guarantee that it has fair and equitable access to publicly funded insurance programs and health system resources. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is concern within the profession that governments do not understand, and/or see, eye care as necessary healthcare that is to be covered through single-payor insurance plans. This creates a willingness to reduce funding for eye care and push services out to private providers and coverage to private insurance plans. The focus of this principle is to manage the risks that a move of



Principle	Description	Context and Rationale
		<p>procedures outside of hospitals will encourage:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Hospitals to cut budgets for ophthalmic services and reduce or eliminate privileges in the long-term. ○ Governments to under-cut fees through RFP processes that reduce access to service over the long-term. ○ A possible disconnection of OR time with call coverage, and an associated reduction in the human resources needed to ensure ophthalmology services.
<p>3. Preserve Choice within Public Healthcare System(s)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Ophthalmologists and their patients must have a choice as to where they deliver and receive their care, with capacity and privileges being sustained within publicly funded hospitals and supplemented by non-hospital surgical centres. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Where governments opt to use non-hospital surgical centres, the profession would like to see a clear set of guidelines that both hospitals and these centres are expected to use with respect to insured and uninsured services¹. This involves leveraging the fact that governments are pushing services to non-hospital surgical settings to develop partnerships with governments and hospitals to sustain access to quality care. ● The focus of this principle is to manage the following risks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The risk that a move of procedures outside the hospital setting will encourage hospitals to cut budgets for ophthalmic services and reduce or

¹ It is noted that policymakers must recognize that a lack of oversight could possibly lead to erosion of adherence to guidelines over time, especially in highly competitive and high-cost areas of the country. This could have a major downstream effect on the patients: namely patient-care, and cost to the patient. There must be a method to audit and review hospital and non-hospital surgical centers to ensure the highest quality is consistently being offered without dissolution of standard of care procedures, and without unethical over-charging of patients.



Principle	Description	Context and Rationale
		<p>eliminate privileges in the long-term.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The creation of division: (i) within the profession between clinicians that deliver services within and outside of hospitals; and, (ii) between the profession and other medical professions through the loss of collegiality that is fostered in a hospital environment where resources are shared.
<p>4. Ownership and Governance Aligns With Ethical Medicine</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No matter the ownership structure, NHSCs should have consistent and transparent governance structures that reflect their roles as partners of publicly funded health systems. • Whether privately owned, or run as non-profits, the profit motive must be addressed. This could be via a regulatory framework, an independent Medical Director or Board, a mission statement, or agreed upon guidelines that are subject to audit and review. • Ultimately, a governance structure is not about over-scrutiny. It simply ensures accountability, and addresses conflict of interest, while preserving the independence of medical decision-making. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a concern within the profession that non-hospital surgical centres that are run and/or controlled by non-clinical business units will result in monopolistic practices, with leadership/administrators pushing unnecessary revenue generating quotas for diagnostics, tests, examinations, lenses, etc. on clinicians (and by extension, patients). • The focus of this principle is to manage the risk that non-clinical considerations will take precedence over clinical and patient interest considerations.
<p>5. Maintain a Stable, Innovative, & Fair Business</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As partners to the publicly funded health systems, governments will pursue value-based procurement approaches that provide a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The focus of this principle is to mitigate business sustainability risks through value-based procurement models that limit need for non-hospital providers to upsell services



Principle	Description	Context and Rationale
Operating Environment	stable business environment in which non-hospital surgical centres will deliver efficient, effective, high-quality, and innovative care.	<p>to manage margin pressures and provide an incentive structure for sustained investment in innovation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The heavy emphasis on cost in RFPs, and the continued under-cutting of fees, could affect quality, reduce physician autonomy and the attractiveness of the profession, while potentially adding financial burden to patients and reducing access to service. In many instances, governments can end contracts at any time, thus putting investments and livelihoods at risk.
6. Ensure Sustainability of the Profession	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The use of non-hospital surgical centres must include a commitment to the sustainability and quality of the profession through the provision of teaching and training capacity for future clinicians and annual minimum investments in innovation as a condition of licensing. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a concern within the profession that a move to greater use of non-hospital surgical centres will lead to: (i) a reduction in teaching capacity and time if non-hospital centres are not required to provide capacity and time for teaching future ophthalmologists; and, (ii) limited access to routine cases for teaching and grading purposes in hospital settings, (iii) a reduction in human resources for providing comprehensive ophthalmic care. The focus of this principle is to encourage a regulatory and government contracting regime that: (i) preserves teaching time and access to teaching cases; (ii) requires non-hospital surgical settings to invest in innovation; and, (iii) preserves the human resources necessary for comprehensive ophthalmic care.
7. Protect and Respect Publicly Funded Procedures &	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-hospital surgical centres, supported by appropriate regulator oversight and reporting, will adhere to high clinical and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is concern within the profession that there will be a profit driven incentive to denigrate the quality and efficacy of publicly insured services and products in



Principle	Description	Context and Rationale
Equitable Access	<p>business standards with publicly funded procedures being respected and delivered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NHSCs will reflect the COS's commitment to advocacy for equitable access to medically necessary care. Equitable access requires a prioritization of procedures that are publicly funded and medically necessary, as well as a commitment to a standard of care. 	<p>favour of more costly, non-publicly insured products.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is also a desire to ensure that the profession, supported by government funders, should share information on leading practices between care settings to inform, and support enforcement of, standards for non-hospital centres (ensure there is a levelling up to leading practice as opposed to a race to the bottom of practice). The focus of this principle is to ensure that guidance, backed by regulatory enforcement, is adhered to in a way that patients are not dissuaded from publicly insured services where they are medically appropriate and effective.



Canadian Ophthalmological Society
 Société canadienne d'ophtalmologie
 EYE PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF CANADA | MÉDECINS ET CHIRURGIENS OPHTHALMOLOGISTES DU CANADA

cos-sco.ca

cos@cos-sco.ca

© Canadian Ophthalmological Society
 August 2022

